

## LINEAR $k$ -BLOCKING SETS

GUGLIELMO LUNARDON\*

Received December 19, 1997

Revised September 19, 2000

We point out the relationship between normal spreads and the linear  $k$ -blocking sets introduced in [9]. We give a characterisation of linear  $k$ -blocking sets proving that the projections and the embeddings of a  $PG(kt, q)$  in  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  are linear  $k$ -blocking sets of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ . Finally, we construct some new examples.

### 1. Introduction

Denote by  $k$  a positive integer. A  $k$ -blocking set in the finite projective space  $PG(r-1, s)$ ,  $r \geq k+2$ , is a set  $B$  of points such that any  $(r-k-1)$ -dimensional subspace contains a point of  $B$  and no  $k$ -dimensional subspace is contained in  $B$ . When  $k=r-2$ , we simply say that  $B$  is a blocking set. If for each point  $x$  of  $B$  the set  $B \setminus \{x\}$  is not a  $k$ -blocking set (i.e., if there is a  $(r-k-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $PG(r-1, s)$  intersecting  $B$  in exactly the point  $x$ ), we say that  $B$  is minimal. It is proved in [2] that  $|B| \geq s^k + s^{k-1} + \cdots + s + 1 + s^{k-1}\sqrt{s}$ . The smallest  $k$ -blocking sets in  $PG(r-1, s)$ ,  $s > 2$ , are the cones  $\mathcal{B}(U, B_0)$  with vertex a  $(k-2)$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $PG(r-1, s)$ , and with base a blocking set  $B_0$  of the smallest possible size in a plane  $E$  of  $PG(r-1, s)$  disjoint from  $U$  (see [6]). If  $s = q^2$ , then  $B_0 = E_0$  is a Baer-subplane of  $E$  and  $\mathcal{B}(U, E_0)$  has size  $s^k + s^{k-1} + \cdots + s + 1 + s^{k-1}\sqrt{s}$  (see [2] Lemma 4). We remark that all the abovementioned  $k$ -blocking sets are blocking sets of a  $(k+1)$ -dimensional subspace and they contain  $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces.

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 51E20; 51E21, 51E22

\* Partially supported by Italian M.U.R.S.T.

A minimal blocking set  $B$  of  $PG(2, s)$  has order  $|B| = s + N \geq s + |B \cap l|$  where  $l$  is a line of the plane. If  $N < \frac{s+3}{2}$ , we call  $B$  a *small* minimal blocking set. If there is a line  $l$  containing exactly  $N$  points of  $B$ , we call  $l$  a *Rédei line* and  $B$  is said to be a *Rédei minimal blocking set*. The reader can see [13] for a detailed exposition of the topic. Examples of minimal blocking sets of  $PG(2, s)$  ( $s = q^t$ ) can be constructed in the following way. Let  $f: GF(s) \mapsto GF(s)$  be a  $GF(q)$ -linear map. The set  $B_f = R \cup D_R$ , where  $R = \{(a, f(a), 1) \mid a \in GF(s)\}$  and  $D_R = \{(a, f(a), 0) \mid a \in GF(s)\}$ , is a Rédei minimal blocking set of  $PG(2, s)$  containing  $q^t + N$  points (see [3] or [13]).

Let  $B = R \cup D_R$  be a Rédei minimal blocking set of  $PG(2, s)$  ( $s = p^n$ ,  $p$  prime) where  $R$  contains exactly  $s$  points of the affine plane  $AG(2, s)$  obtained from  $PG(2, s)$  fixing a Rédei line  $l$  as line at infinity, and  $D_R$  is the set of directions determined by the set  $R$ . We can suppose that the affine point  $(0, 0)$  belongs to  $R$ . Let  $e$  be the largest integer such that each line with slope in  $D_R$  meets  $R$  in a number of points which is a multiple of  $p^e$ . If either  $p^e > 3$  or  $p^e = 3$  and  $N = p^n/3 + 1$ , then there is a  $GF(p^e)$ -linear map  $f: GF(s) \mapsto GF(s)$  such that  $B = B_f$  (see [1]).

A new class of  $k$ -blocking sets, called *linear*, has been introduced in [9] using normal spreads of projective finite spaces, proving that  $B_f$  is always a linear blocking set, and a class of examples of non-Rédei small minimal linear blocking sets of  $PG(2, q^t)$  has been constructed in [11].

The aim of this paper is to point out the relationship between normal spreads and linear  $k$ -blocking sets. We prove that a  $k$ -blocking set of minimal size is linear when  $s$  is a square. Using the characterisation of linear  $k$ -blocking sets obtained in Section 4, we can prove that all the projections and all the embeddings of  $PG(kt, q)$  in  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  ( $r \geq k+2$ ,  $kt > r-1$ ) are linear  $k$ -blocking sets of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ . Finally, we construct some new examples.

## 2. Normal spreads

Let  $PG(V, F)$  be the projective space defined by the lattice of the vector subspaces of the vector space  $V$  over the field  $F$ . Denote by the same symbol both a vector subspace of  $V$  and the element of  $PG(V, F)$  defined by it. We say that an element  $T$  of  $PG(V, F)$  has *rank*  $t$  and *dimension*  $t-1$  if  $T$  has dimension  $t$  as a vector space over  $F$ . If  $V$  has finite dimension  $n$  over  $F = GF(q)$ , we write  $PG(n-1, q)$  instead of  $PG(V, F)$ .

Let  $\Sigma^*$  be a projective space. A subset  $\Sigma$  of points of  $\Sigma^*$  is a *subgeometry* of  $\Sigma^*$  if there is a set  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $\Sigma$  with the following properties:

- (1) each element of  $\mathcal{L}$  is contained in a line of  $\Sigma^*$ ;

- (2)  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$  is a projective space;
- (3) if a line  $l$  of  $\Sigma^*$  contains two points of  $\Sigma$ , then  $l \cap \Sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ ;
- (4) no line of  $\Sigma^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $\Sigma = PG(V, GF(q)) = PG(n-1, q)$  be a subgeometry of  $\Sigma^* = PG(V^*, GF(q^t)) = PG(n-1, q^t)$ . We say that  $\Sigma$  is a *canonical* subgeometry of  $\Sigma^*$  when  $V^* = GF(q^t) \otimes V$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^*$ . For each subspace  $S^*$  of  $\Sigma^*$  the set  $S = S^* \cap \Sigma$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma$  whose rank is at most equal to the rank of  $S^*$ . We say that a subspace  $S$  of  $\Sigma^*$  is a *subspace* of  $\Sigma$  whenever  $S$  and  $S^*$  have the same rank. If  $\sigma$  is a semilinear collineation of  $\Sigma^*$  of order  $t$  fixing pointwise  $\Sigma$ , then  $S^*$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma$  if and only if  $S^*$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ .

Let  $V_1, V_2$  be finite dimensional vector spaces over the field  $F$ , of dimension  $t$  and  $r$  respectively. The vector space  $V = V_1 \otimes V_2$  has dimension  $rt$ . Let  $\Sigma = PG(V, F) = PG(rt-1, F)$ . A *Segre variety* of type  $(t, r)$  is the set  $S_{t,r} = \{a_1 \otimes a_2 \mid a_i \in V_i \setminus \{0\} (i=1, 2)\}$ . For each non-zero vector  $a_i \in V_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) the vector subspaces  $\langle a_1 \rangle \otimes V_2$  and  $V_1 \otimes \langle a_2 \rangle$  define respectively a subspace of rank  $r$  and a subspace of rank  $t$  of  $PG(rt-1, q)$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{V_1 \otimes \langle a_2 \rangle \mid a_2 \in V_2; a_2 \neq 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^* = \{\langle a_1 \rangle \otimes V_2 \mid a_1 \in V_1; a_1 \neq 0\}$ . It is easy to prove that each point of  $S_{t,r}$  belongs to exactly one element of  $\mathcal{R}$  and one element of  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . We call  $\mathcal{R}$  a  $(t-1)$ -regulus of  $PG(rt-1, F)$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*$  the set of the transversal subspaces of  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $A$  is an element of  $\mathcal{R}^*$  and  $B$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $A$ , then for each  $X$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  the set of points  $X_B = \{Y \cap X \mid Y \in \mathcal{R}, Y \cap A \in B\}$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $X$ .

If  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r$  are  $r+1$  subspaces  $PG(rt-1, q)$  of dimension  $t-1$  such that each  $r$  of them span  $PG(rt-1, q)$ , then there is a unique  $(t-1)$ -regulus containing  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_r$ .

The reader can see e.g. [5] for more details on Segre varieties.

A  $(t-1)$ -spread ( $t > 1$ ) of a projective space  $PG(n-1, q)$  is a family  $\mathcal{S}$  of mutually disjoint subspaces of rank  $t$  such that each point of  $PG(n-1, q)$  belongs to an element of  $\mathcal{S}$ . It has been proved by Segre [12] that  $(t-1)$ -spreads of  $PG(n-1, q)$  exist if and only if  $n = rt$ .

Let  $r > 2$ . A  $(t-1)$ -spread  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *normal* if it induces a spread in any subspace generated by two elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  (i.e., if  $T = \langle A, B \rangle$  with  $A, B$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , then an element of  $\mathcal{S}$  is either disjoint from  $T$  or contained in  $T$ )<sup>1</sup>.

Let  $\Sigma = PG(rt-1, q)$  be a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^* = PG(rt-1, q^t)$  ( $t > 1$ ) and let  $\sigma$  be a semilinear collineation of  $\Sigma^*$  of order  $t$  which fixes  $\Sigma$  pointwise. There is a subspace  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  disjoint from  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma^*$  is spanned by  $\Pi, \Pi^\sigma, \dots, \Pi^{\sigma^{t-1}}$  and, for each point  $x$  of  $\Pi$ ,  $L(x) = \langle x^{\sigma^i} \mid i=0, 1, 2, \dots, t-1 \rangle$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma$  of rank  $t$ . Then it is easy

<sup>1</sup> This spreads are called *geometric* in [12].

to prove that  $\mathcal{S} = \{L(x) \mid x \in \Pi\}$  is a  $(t-1)$ -spread of  $PG(rt-1, q)$  (see, e.g., [12]). If  $m$  is a line of  $\Pi$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_m = \{L(x) \mid x \in m\}$  is a  $(t-1)$ -spread of the subspace  $T_m = \langle m, m^\sigma, \dots, m^{\sigma^{t-1}} \rangle$  of rank  $2t$ . The  $(t-1)$ -spread  $\mathcal{S}$  has the following property: if a subspace  $T$  of rank  $2t$  of  $PG(rt-1, q)$  contains two elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ , then there is a line  $m$  of  $\Pi$  such that  $T = T_m$ . Therefore, when  $r > 2$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a normal spread of  $\Sigma$ , and by [12] all normal spreads of  $\Sigma$  can be constructed in this way.

A subset  $\pi$  of  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  is a canonical subgeometry of  $\Pi$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R} = \{L(x) \mid x \in \pi\}$  is a  $(t-1)$ -regulus of  $\Sigma$  (see [12] or [7] Lemma 25.6.8).

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the set of all the subspaces of  $PG(rt-1, q)$  of rank  $2t$  joining two elements of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Let  $P(\mathcal{S})$  be the incidence structure, whose points and lines are respectively the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  and the elements of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and whose incidence is the usual one of  $PG(rt-1, q)$ . Then  $P(\mathcal{S})$  is isomorphic to  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  via the isomorphism  $\alpha$  defined by  $x \mapsto L(x)$  and  $m \mapsto T_m$  as remarked by R.C. Bose (see [4] or [12]).

Next we give a different construction for normal spreads.

Let  $V$  be an  $r$ -dimensional vector space over  $GF(q^t)$ , and let  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t) = PG(V, GF(q^t))$ . Regarding  $V$  as a vector space of dimension  $rt$  over  $GF(q)$ , each point  $x$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  defines a  $(t-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $P(x)$  of the projective space  $PG(V, GF(q)) = PG(rt-1, q)$ , and each line  $l$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  defines a  $(2t-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $P(l)$  of  $PG(rt-1, q)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the set of all the  $(t-1)$ -dimensional subspaces  $P(x)$  where  $x$  is a point of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}$  is a  $(t-1)$ -spread of  $PG(rt-1, q)$ . Moreover, if  $U$  is a  $(2t-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $PG(rt-1, q)$  containing two elements of  $\mathbf{S}$ , then a  $(t-1)$ -spread is induced by  $\mathbf{S}$  in  $U$ , i.e.  $U = P(l)$  for some line  $l$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{S}$  is a normal  $(t-1)$ -spread.

For each  $\lambda$  in  $GF(q^t)$ , let  $\tau_\lambda$  be the collineation of  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  defined by the linear map of  $V$  to itself which maps  $v \mapsto \lambda v$  for all vectors  $v$  of  $V$ . Note that  $\tau_\lambda$  fixes all the points of  $\Pi$ . Also  $G = \{\tau_\lambda : \lambda \in GF(q^t)\}$  defines a subgroup of  $PGL(rt, q)$  of order  $(q^t-1)/(q-1)$ , which fixes all the elements  $P(x)$  of  $\mathbf{S}$  and acts sharply transitively on the points of  $P(x)$ . Moreover,  $\Pi$  is isomorphic to  $P(\mathbf{S})$  via the isomorphism  $P$  defined by  $x \mapsto P(x)$  and  $l \mapsto P(l)$ .

### 3. Linear $k$ -blocking sets

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal spread of  $\Sigma = PG(rt-1, q)$ ,  $(t > 1)$  and let  $P(\mathcal{S}) \simeq PG(r-1, q^t)$  be the  $(r-1)$ -dimensional projective space constructed using  $\mathcal{S}$ . We recall that a  $(h-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $X$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  is represented

in  $P(\mathcal{S})$  by a  $(ht-1)$ -subspace  $T_X$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_X = \{L(x) \mid x \in X\}$  is a spread of  $T_X$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $r \geq k+1$ , and let  $L$  be a  $kt$ -dimensional subspace of  $\Sigma$ . Define*

$$\mathcal{B}_L = \{A \in \mathcal{S} \mid A \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$$

*If  $L$  is not contained in  $T_Y$  for all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces  $Y$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is a  $k$ -blocking set of  $P(\mathcal{S}) \simeq PG(r-1, q^t)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $X$  is a  $(r-k-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ , then  $T_X$  intersects  $L$ , i.e. there is an element of  $\mathcal{S}_X$  in  $\mathcal{B}_L$ . Hence all  $(r-k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces contain an element of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ .

Let  $Y$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  and let  $\mathcal{S}_Y$  be the spread of  $T_Y$  induced by  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}_Y$  is contained in  $\mathcal{B}_L$  if and only if either  $L$  is contained in  $T_Y$  and all elements of  $\mathcal{S}_Y$  intersect  $L$  or  $L \cap T_Y \neq L$  and  $L$  has at least a point in common with each element of  $\mathcal{S}_Y$ . If  $L \cap T_Y$  is different from  $L$ , then  $L \cap T_Y$  has dimension at most  $kt-1$ , and  $|L \cap T_Y| \leq \frac{q^{kt}-1}{q-1}$ . As  $\mathcal{S}_Y$  has order  $\frac{q^{t(k+1)}-1}{q^t-1} > \frac{q^{kt}-1}{q-1}$ , not all elements of  $\mathcal{S}_Y$  intersect  $L$ . ■

In the hypotheses of [Theorem 1](#), we call  $\mathcal{B}_L$  a *linear  $k$ -blocking set* of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ .

We remark that the subspace  $L$  is not uniquely defined by  $\mathcal{B}_L$  because  $\mathcal{B}_L = \mathcal{B}_M$  with  $M = L^\tau$  for each element  $\tau$  of the group  $G$ . Thus, for each element  $A$  of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ , the subspaces  $L \cap A$  and  $M \cap A$  have the same dimension for all  $\tau$  in  $G$ . In particular, if  $L \cap A$  is a point for some element of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ , then there are  $\frac{q^t-1}{q-1}$  subspaces of dimension  $kt$  defining the same linear  $k$ -blocking set. If each element of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  intersects  $L$  in a point, then  $\mathcal{P} = \{L^\tau \mid \tau \in G\}$  is a partial spread because each point of an element of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  belongs to exactly one of the subspaces  $L^\tau$ .

If  $l$  is a line of  $L$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  are two elements of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  incident with a point of  $l$ , then  $\mathcal{R} = \{Z \in \mathcal{B}_L \mid Z \cap l \text{ is a point}\}$  is a  $(t-1)$ -regulus of the spread induced by  $\mathcal{S}$  on the  $(2t-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $\langle X, Y \rangle$ , whose transversals are the lines  $l^\tau$  for  $\tau$  in  $G$ .

**Corollary 1.** *For  $k=r-2$ , the blocking set  $\mathcal{B}_L$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  is minimal.*

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is not minimal, there is an element  $A = L(x)$  of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  such that for each line  $m$  of  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  incident with  $x$  the subspace  $T_m$  intersects an element  $B_m$  of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  different from  $A$ . Let  $a$  be a fixed point of  $A \cap L$ . If  $b_m$  is a point of  $B_m$ , let  $l_m = \langle a, b_m \rangle$  be the line of  $L$  joining  $a$  and  $b_m$ . If  $m' \neq m$ ,

then  $l_m$  and  $l_{m'}$  are distinct because  $A$  is the intersection of  $T_m$  and  $T_{m'}$ . Thus we have  $\frac{q^{t(r-1)}-1}{q^t-1}$  lines  $l_m$ . As  $L$  has dimension  $(r-2)t$ , the number of the lines of  $L$  incident with a point is  $\frac{q^{t(r-2)}-1}{q-1} < \frac{q^{t(r-1)}-1}{q^t-1}$ . Hence we have a contradiction. ■

**Corollary 2** ([9] Theorem 10). *For each  $GF(q)$ -linear function  $f$  from  $GF(q^t)$  to itself, the Rédei blocking set  $B_f$  of  $PG(2, q^t)$  is linear.* ■

It has been proved in [11] that there are linear blocking sets of  $PG(2, q^t)$ ,  $t > 3$ , which are not of Rédei type.

**Corollary 3.** *Denote by  $\mathcal{B}(U, B_0)$  the cone of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  with vertex the  $(k-2)$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ ,  $t > 1$ , and base a blocking set  $B_0$  in a plane  $E$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  disjoint from  $U$ . If  $B_0$  is a linear blocking set of the plane  $E$  then  $\mathcal{B}(U, B_0)$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $PG(r-1, q^t) = PG(V, GF(q^t))$  and let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the  $GF(q)$ -linear representation of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  in  $PG(V, GF(q)) = PG(tr-1, q)$ . Then  $U$  and  $E$  define respectively a  $(tk-t-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $T_U$  and a  $(3t-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $T_E$  of  $PG(tr-1, q)$ .

If  $B_0$  is a linear blocking set of  $E$ , then there is a  $t$ -dimensional subspace  $A$  of  $T_E$  such that  $B_0 = \{x \mid L(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ . Let  $L$  be the subspace of  $PG(tr-1, q)$  joining  $T_U$  and  $A$ . As  $U$  and  $E$  are disjoint, the subspaces  $T_U$  and  $A$  are skew. Then  $L$  has dimension  $tk$  and  $\mathcal{B}(U, B_0) = \mathcal{B}_L$ . ■

Let  $E_0$  be a Baer subplane of  $E = PG(2, q^2)$ . As  $E_0$  is a Rédei blocking set of  $E = PG(2, q^2)$ ,  $E_0$  is a linear blocking set of  $E$  by [1] and Corollary 2. Hence,  $\mathcal{B}(U, E_0)$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set of  $PG(r-1, q^2)$ .

#### 4. Characterisation of linear $k$ -blocking sets

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a normal spread of  $\Sigma = PG(rt-1, q)$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma = PG(rt-1, q)$  is a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^* = PG(rt-1, q^t)$ , and  $\sigma$  is the semilinear collineation of  $\Sigma^*$  which fixes  $\Sigma$  pointwise. Let  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  be a subspace disjoint from  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma^*$  is spanned by  $\Pi, \Pi^\sigma, \dots, \Pi^{\sigma^{t-1}}$  and  $\mathcal{S} = \{L(x) \mid x \in \Pi\}$ . If  $\Pi = PG(V, GF(q^t))$ , then we can suppose  $\Sigma^* = \{(x_1, x_2^\sigma, \dots, x_t^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t \in V\}$ , and  $\Sigma = \{(x, x^\sigma, \dots, x^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \mid x \in V\}$ .

Suppose  $r \geq k+2$ . Let  $L$  be a  $kt$ -dimensional subspace of  $\Sigma$  which is not contained in  $T_Y$  for all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces  $Y$  of  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set. Denote by  $B_L$  the set of all the points  $x$  of  $\Pi$

such that  $L(x)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_L$ . By the isomorphism  $\alpha$  between  $\Pi$  and  $P(\mathcal{S})$  defined in §2,  $B_L$  is a  $k$ -blocking set of  $\Pi$ , which is also called *linear*. Note that  $\alpha(B_L) = \mathcal{B}_L$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $r \geq k+2$ . There is a  $kt$ -dimensional subspace  $L$  of  $\Sigma$  which is not contained in  $T_Y$  for all  $k$ -dimensional subspaces  $Y$  of  $\Pi = PG(r-1, q^t)$  if and only if there is a subset  $W$  of  $V$ , which is a  $(kt+1)$ -dimensional vector space over  $GF(q)$ , such that:*

- (a) *a point of  $\Pi$  belongs to  $B_L$  if and only if it is defined by a vector of  $W$ ,*
- (b)  *$W$  is not contained in any  $GF(q^t)$ -vector subspace of  $V$  of dimension  $k+1$  over  $GF(q^t)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $W = \{x \in V \mid (x, x^\sigma, \dots, x^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \in L\}$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $W$  and  $\lambda$  is in  $GF(q)$  then both  $x+y$  and  $\lambda x$  belong to  $W$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $W$  is a  $(kt+1)$ -dimensional vector space over  $GF(q)$ . Therefore  $L$  is a  $kt$ -dimensional subspace of  $\Sigma$  if and only if there is a  $GF(q)$ -vector subspace  $W$  of  $V$  of dimension  $kt+1$  such that  $L = \{(x, x^\sigma, \dots, x^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \mid x \in W\}$ .

By definition a point  $y$  of  $\Pi$  belongs to  $B_L$  if and only if  $L(y)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_L$  if and only if  $(x, x^\sigma, \dots, x^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \in L$  with  $x = \mu y$  for some  $\mu \in GF(q^t)$  and  $x \in W$  if and only if the point  $y$  of  $\Pi$  is defined by a vector of  $W$ .

Moreover  $W$  is contained in a  $GF(q^t)$ -vector space of  $V$  of dimension  $k+1$  over  $GF(q^t)$  if and only if  $B_L$  is contained in a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $Y$  of  $\Pi$  if and only if  $L$  is contained in  $T_Y$ . ■

In the hypothesis of Theorem 2, a point of  $B_L$  can be defined by different vectors of  $W$ . In particular if  $\Pi = PG(2, q^t)$  is a plane, then a linear blocking set of  $\Pi$  is defined by a  $(t+1)$ -dimensional  $GF(q)$ -vector space of  $V$ .

**Corollary 4.** *A canonical subgeometry of  $PG(kt, q^t)$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set. If  $r = kt+1$ , then a linear  $k$ -blocking set  $B$  of  $PG(r-1, q^t)$  is a canonical subgeometry if and only if  $\langle B \rangle = PG(r-1, q^t)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\Sigma = PG(W, GF(q))$  is a canonical subgeometry of  $PG(kt, q^t) = PG(V, GF(q^t))$  then  $\Sigma$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set by Theorem 2.

If  $B$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set of  $PG(kt, q^t)$ , let  $W$  be the  $GF(q)$ -vector space of dimension  $kt+1$  associated with  $B$ . As  $W$  has dimension  $kt+1$  and  $\langle B \rangle = PG(kt, q^t)$  a basis of  $W$  is also a basis of  $V$ , i.e.  $B$  is a canonical subgeometry of  $PG(kt, q^t)$ . ■



## 5. Projections and embeddings

Let  $\Sigma = PG(m, q)$  be a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^* = PG(m, q^t)$ . Suppose there is a  $(m - r)$ -dimensional subspace  $\Lambda^*$  of  $\Sigma^*$  disjoint from  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be an  $(r - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\Sigma^*$  disjoint from  $\Lambda^*$ , and let  $\Gamma = \{x \text{ is a point of } \Lambda \mid \exists y \in \Sigma : x = \langle \Lambda^*, y \rangle \cap \Lambda\}$  be the *projection* of  $\Sigma$  from  $\Lambda^*$  to  $\Lambda = PG(r - 1, q^t)$ . If each line of  $\Sigma$  is disjoint from  $\Lambda^*$ , we call  $\Gamma$  an *embedding* of  $PG(m, q)$  in  $\Lambda$ . Let  $p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}$  be the map from  $\Sigma$  on  $\Gamma$  defined by  $x \mapsto \langle \Lambda^*, x \rangle \cap \Lambda$  for each point  $x$  of  $\Sigma$ .

**Lemma 1.** *The map  $p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}$  is a bijection if and only if  $\Gamma$  is an embedding of  $\Sigma = PG(m, q)$  in  $\Lambda$ . No proper subspace of  $\Lambda$  contains  $\Gamma$ .*

**Proof.** By definition  $p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}$  is surjective. If  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct points of  $\Sigma$ , then  $p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}(x) = p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}(y) = z$  if and only if the subspace  $\langle \Lambda^*, z \rangle$  contains  $x$  and  $y$ . This is equivalent to say that the line joining  $x$  and  $y$  intersects  $\Lambda^*$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is contained in a hyperplane  $H$  of  $\Lambda$ , then  $\Sigma$  is contained in the hyperplane  $\langle \Lambda^*, H \rangle$  of  $\Sigma^*$ . As  $\Sigma$  is a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^*$ , this is impossible. ■

Let  $\Sigma^* = PG(V^*, GF(q^t))$  and  $\Sigma = PG(V, GF(q))$  with  $V^* = GF(q^t) \otimes V$ . Denote by  $X$  and  $Y$  the vector subspaces of  $V^*$  which define respectively  $\Lambda^*$  and  $\Lambda$ . Note that  $\dim_{GF(q)} X \oplus V = (m - r + 1)t + m + 1$  and  $\dim_{GF(q)} Y = rt$ . Therefore,  $W = Y \cap (X \oplus V)$  is a  $GF(q)$ -subspace of dimension  $m + 1$  of  $Y$ , and the points of  $\Gamma$  are defined by the vectors of  $W$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a projection of  $PG(m, q)$  in  $\Lambda = PG(r - 1, q^t)$  ( $t > 1$ ) and  $m = kt$ , with  $r \geq k + 2, k > 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a linear  $k$ -blocking set of  $\Lambda$ . When  $\Gamma$  is an embedding of  $PG(m, q)$  in  $\Lambda = PG(r - 1, q^t)$ ,  $\Gamma$  has size  $q^{kt} + q^{kt-1} + \dots + q + 1$  and does not contain any line of  $\Lambda$ .*

**Proof.** Any  $(r - k - 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\Lambda$  contains a point of  $\Gamma$  because it is defined by a  $GF(q^t)$ -vector subspace of  $Y$  of dimension  $r - k$  over  $GF(q^t)$  and  $W$  has dimension  $kt + 1$  over  $GF(q)$ .

Suppose that a  $k$ -subspace  $M$  of  $\Lambda$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . As  $\langle \Lambda^*, M \rangle \cap \Sigma$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma$ , it contains  $\frac{q^{h+1}-1}{q-1}$  points, where  $h$  is the dimension of  $\langle \Lambda^*, M \rangle \cap \Sigma$ . The number of points of  $\langle \Lambda^*, M \rangle \cap \Sigma$  is greater than or equal to the number of point of its projection  $\langle \Lambda^*, M \rangle \cap \Gamma = M$ ; i.e.,  $\frac{q^{h+1}-1}{q-1} \geq \frac{(q^t)^{k+1}-1}{q^t-1}$ . This implies  $h > tk$  because  $t > 1$ . As the dimension of  $\Sigma$  is  $kt$  and  $h$  is the dimension of a subspace of  $\Sigma$ , we have a contradiction.

Suppose  $\Gamma$  be an embedding. As no line of  $\Sigma$  intersects  $\Lambda^*$ ,  $\Gamma$  contains  $q^{kt} + q^{kt-1} + \dots + q + 1$  points.



Suppose that a line  $m$  of  $\Lambda$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\langle \Lambda^*, m \rangle$  intersects  $\Sigma$  in exactly  $q^t + 1$  points because the map  $p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}$  is a bijection. As  $\langle \Lambda^*, m \rangle \cap \Sigma$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma$ , it contains  $\frac{q^{h+1}-1}{q-1}$  points where  $h$  is the dimension of  $\langle \Lambda^*, m \rangle \cap \Sigma$ . As the map  $p_{\Lambda^*, \Lambda, \Sigma}$  from  $\Sigma$  into  $\Gamma$  is a bijection, it must be  $\frac{q^{h+1}-1}{q-1} = q^t + 1$ . As this is impossible, we have a contradiction. Therefore no line of  $\Lambda$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . ■

We remark that if all the planes of  $\Sigma$  are disjoint from  $\Lambda^*$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a subgeometry of  $\Lambda$  and, by [8], all subgeometries of  $\Lambda$  isomorphic to  $PG(m, q)$  can be constructed in this way. In [10], we have proved that any linear  $k$ -blocking set not contained in a hyperplane is some projection.

We conclude this section with an example of 1-blocking set defined by an embedding.

Let  $\Sigma^* = PG(t, q^t)$ ,  $t > 2$ , and let  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$  be the homogeneous coordinates of a point of  $\Sigma^*$ . If  $\sigma$  is the collineation of  $\Sigma^*$  defined by  $\sigma : (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) \mapsto (x_0^q, x_1^q, x_2^q, \dots, x_{t-1}^q)$ , then  $\Sigma = \{(\alpha, x, x^q, \dots, x^{q^{t-1}}) \mid \alpha \in GF(q), x \in GF(q^t)\}$  is a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^*$  fixed pointwise by  $\sigma$ . The point  $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  of  $\Sigma^*$  cannot be contained in a subspace  $U$  of  $\Sigma$  of dimension  $h < t - 1$  because  $U^\sigma = U$  implies  $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^{\sigma^i} \in U$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$  (i.e.  $U$  is the hyperplane with equation  $x_0 = 0$ ). If  $\Lambda$  is the hyperplane of  $\Sigma^*$  of equation  $x_1 = 0$ , the projection of  $\Sigma$  from the point  $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  on  $\Lambda$  is

$$\Gamma = \{(\alpha, 0, x^q, \dots, x^{q^{t-1}}) \mid \alpha \in GF(q), x \in GF(q^t)\}.$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is a 1-blocking set of  $\Lambda = PG(t - 1, q^t)$  by Theorem 2.

For  $t = 3$  the line  $x_0 = x_1 = 0$  of  $\Lambda = PG(2, q^3)$  is a Rédei line of  $\Gamma$  containing  $q^2 + q + 1$  points of  $\Gamma$ .

If  $t > 3$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a subgeometry of  $\Lambda = PG(t - 1, q^t)$  and a line of  $\Lambda$  contains 0, 1 or  $q + 1$  points of  $\Gamma$ .

## 6. An example of $k$ -blocking set

In this section we always suppose  $t$  and  $k$  are two positive integers such that  $t \geq 3$  and  $r = k(t - 1) \geq 3$ .

Let  $\Sigma = PG(kt - 1, q)$  be a canonical subgeometry of  $\Sigma^* = PG(kt - 1, q^t)$ , and let  $\sigma$  be the semilinear collineation of  $\Sigma^*$  which fixes  $\Sigma$  pointwise. Let  $\Pi = PG(k - 1, q^t)$  be a subspace disjoint from  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma^*$  is spanned by  $\Pi, \Pi^\sigma, \dots, \Pi^{\sigma^{t-1}}$  and such that for each point  $x$  of  $\Pi$ ,  $L(x) = \langle x^{\sigma^i} \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t - 1 \rangle$  is a subspace of  $\Sigma$  of rank  $t$ .

Then no line of  $\Sigma$  intersects  $\Pi = \Lambda^*$ . Let  $\Lambda = \langle \Pi^{\sigma^i} \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1 \rangle = PG(r-1, q^t)$  and let  $\Gamma$  be the projection of  $\Sigma$  from  $\Lambda^*$  into  $\Lambda$ . As  $\Gamma$  is an embedding of  $\Sigma = PG(kt-1, q)$ , it contains  $\frac{q^{kt}-1}{q-1}$  points and it is not contained in a hyperplane of  $\Lambda$ .

Let  $\mathbf{S}$  be the  $GF(q)$ -linear representation of  $\Lambda = PG(Y, GF(q^t)) = PG(r-1, q^t)$  in  $PG(Y, GF(q)) = PG(rt-1, q)$ . As the embedding  $\Gamma$  is defined by a  $GF(q)$ -vector subspace of  $Y$  of dimension  $kt$  over  $GF(q)$ , it defines a  $(kt-1)$ -dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $PG(rt-1, q)$  such that for each point  $x$  of  $\Gamma$  the element  $P(x)$  of  $\mathbf{S}$  intersects  $M$  in exactly a point.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $u$  be a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ ,  $y = P(u) \cap M$  and let  $z$  be a fixed point of  $P(u)$  different from  $y$ . If  $L$  is the  $kt$ -dimensional subspace joining  $M$  and  $z$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is a  $k$ -blocking set of  $P(\mathbf{S}) = PG(r-1, q^t)$  which is not contained in a hyperplane.*

*If  $t > 3$ , then any line of  $P(\mathbf{S})$  contains at most  $q^2 + q + 1$  elements of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{B}_L$  does not contain any line of  $P(\mathbf{S})$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}_L$  has order  $q^{kt} + q^{kt-1} + \dots + q^2 + 1$ .*

*If  $k = 1$  and  $t = 4$  then  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is a non-Rédei blocking set of the plane  $PG(2, q^4)$  containing a subgeometry isomorphic to  $PG(3, q)$ .*

**Proof.** If there is a hyperplane  $H$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $B_L = \{x \mid P(x) \in \mathcal{B}_L\} \subset H$ , then  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $H$ . As this is impossible,  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is not contained in the hyperplane  $\mathbf{S}_H = \{P(x) \mid x \in H\}$  of  $P(\mathbf{S})$ .

If  $B_L$  contains a  $k$ -subspace  $U$  of  $\Lambda$ , then  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $U$ . As  $\Gamma$  is an embedding, this is impossible by Lemma 1. By Theorem 1 we have proved that  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is a  $k$ -blocking set.

Let  $t > 3$ . In this case  $\Gamma$  is a subgeometry of  $\Lambda$  because no plane of  $\Sigma$  intersects  $\Pi$ . Therefore a line  $m$  of  $\Lambda$  contains at most  $q+1$  point of  $\Gamma$ . If  $T_m$  is the  $GF(q)$ -linear representation of  $m$ , then  $T_m \cap M$  is at most a line because each element  $P(x)$  of  $\mathbf{S}$  with  $x \in \Gamma$  have exactly a point in common with  $M$ . As  $M$  is a hyperplane of  $L$ , we have that  $L \cap T_m$  is at most a plane. Moreover,  $|\mathbf{S}_m \cap \mathcal{B}_L| \leq q^2 + q + 1$ . As  $|\mathbf{S}_m| = q^t + 1 > q^2 + q + 1$ , no line is contained in  $\mathcal{B}_L$ .

Let  $N$  be a fixed hyperplane of  $M$  not incident with the point  $y \in P(u)$ . If  $x \in P(a)$  ( $a \neq u$ ) is a point of  $N$  then the plane  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  of  $L$  is contained in the subspace  $T_m$  where  $m$  is the line of  $\Lambda$  joining the points  $u$  and  $a$ . As  $P(u)$  intersects  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  in the line  $\langle y, z \rangle$  each point of  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  not in  $\langle y, z \rangle$  belongs to exactly one element of  $\mathbf{S}_m$ , i.e. there are exactly  $q^2 + 1$  elements of  $\mathbf{S}_m$  containing a point of  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ . As  $\Gamma$  is a subgeometry,  $T_m \cap M$  is at most a line and  $T_m \cap L = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ , i.e.  $T_m$  contains exactly  $q^2 + 1$  elements of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ .

If  $w$  is a point of  $N$  different from  $x$  belonging to  $P(b)$ , the line  $n = \langle u, b \rangle$  of  $\Lambda$  intersects  $m$  in  $u$ . Therefore  $P(u)$  is the unique element of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  incident with a point of  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  and with a point of  $\langle w, y, z \rangle$ .

As we have  $q^{kt-2} + q^{kt-3} + \dots + q + 1$  planes of type  $\langle x, y, z \rangle$  where  $x$  is a point of  $N$ , the order of  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is  $q^{kt} + q^{kt-1} + \dots + q^3 + q^2 + 1$ .

If  $k = 1$  and  $t = 4$ , then  $r = 3$  and  $\mathcal{B}_L$  is a blocking set of the plane  $P(\mathbf{S}) = PG(2, q^4)$  of order  $q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + 1$  such that no line of  $P(\mathbf{S})$  contains  $q^3 + q^2 + 1$  points of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ . ■

Note that the non-Rédei blocking set of  $PG(2, q^4)$  constructed in [Theorem 4](#) is one of the examples constructed in [11]. Also, for  $k = 2$  and  $t = 3$ , we have constructed a blocking set of  $PG(3, q^3)$ .

## References

- [1] S. BALL, A. BLOKHUIS, A.E. BROUWER, L. STORME, T. SZÖNYI: On the number of slopes of the graph of a function defined on a finite field, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A*, **86** (1999), 187–196.
- [2] A. BEUTELSPACHER: Blocking sets and partial spreads in finite projective spaces, *Geom. Dedicata*, **9** (1980), 425–449.
- [3] A. E. BROUWER and H. A. WILBRINK: Blocking sets in translation planes, *J. Geom.*, **19** (1982), 200.
- [4] R. H. BRUCK: *Construction Problems in Finite Projective Spaces*, *Combinatorial Mathematics and its Applications*, Chapell Hill, 1969, 426–514.
- [5] W. BURAU: *Mehrdimensionale projektive und höhere Geometrie*, Berlin, 1961.
- [6] U. HEIM: On  $t$ -blocking sets in projective spaces, preprint.
- [7] J. W. P. HIRSCHFELD and J. A. THAS: *General Galois Geometries*. Clarendon Press–Oxford 1991.
- [8] M. LIMBOS: A characterisation of the embeddings of  $PG(m, q)$  into  $PG(n, q^r)$ , *J. Geom.*, **16** (1981), 50–55.
- [9] G. LUNARDON: Normal spreads. *Geom. Dedicata*, **75** (1999), 245–261.
- [10] G. LUNARDON, P. POLITO and O. POLVERINO: A geometric characterisation of linear  $k$ -blocking sets, submitted.
- [11] P. POLITO and O. POLVERINO: On small blocking sets, *Combinatorica*, **18** (1998), 133–137.
- [12] B. SEGREGÉ: Teoria di Galois, fibrazioni proiettive e geometrie non desarguesiane, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **64** (1964), 1–76.
- [13] T. SZÖNYI: Polinomi lacunosi, Summer school in Combinatorics, Potenza 1995.

Guglielmo Lunardon

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni  
Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”  
Complesso di Monte S. Angelo–Edificio T  
V. Cintia  
I-80126 Napoli, Italy  
lunardon@unina.it*